United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,337		10/12/2000	Paul E. Beckmann	02103-390001	1572
26161	7590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC				MEI, XU	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		N 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	32 32			2615	
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/689,337	BECKMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Xu Mei	2615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 80-91,94-101 and 105-125 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 80-91 and 105-114 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 94-101 and 115-125 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	vn from consideration. relection requirement.				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) v No(s)/Mail Date 5/23/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/689,337 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the applicant's amendment dated 05/23/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 94-101, 115-116, 117-125 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 94, 117, 122 and 124, the claimed limitation of "at least some operation" is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 117-121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kataoka (US-6,959,221).

Regarding claims 117 and 121, Kataoka discloses an audio system (see Fig. 1) comprising:

- (i) a sound reproduction device (portable device 2) comprising:

 an enclosure (see Fig. 16); a powered speaker 206 at least partially located within the enclosure;
 - (ii) an interface device 201 operably coupled to the sound reproduction device;
- (iii) an audio source device (main device 1) configured to output one of a plurality of audio streams (audio information stored in the main device) and further configured to removably connect to the sound reproduction device via the interface device (col. 11, lines 16-17); and
- (iv) a remote control device configured to control both at least some operation of the audio source device and at least some operation of the sound reproduction device (see user input-output part of 110 and 207).

What's not taught by Kataoka is a radio tuner at least partially located within the enclosure of the sound reproduction device. Although Kataoka did not discloses a radio tuner being located in the enclosure of the sound reproduction device, he discloses such radio tuner is being located in the audio source device for receiving broadcast information as constituents of a typical digital receiver (see col. 11, lines 24-33). And conventional portable audio device having radio function is old and well known in the art to enable user listen to radio broadcast.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the portable sound reproduction device disclosed by

Kataoka with the typical conventional radio tuner in order to allow user to listen to conventional radio broadcast.

For what's called for in claims 118-120, see col. 12, lines 58-63 and col. 13, lines 24-29.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 80-91, 105-114 allowed.
- 7. Claims 94-101, 115-116, 122-125 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Van Ryzin and Gladwin et al discloses methods and apparatuses for remote controlling audio information.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xu Mei whose telephone number is 571-272-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justile Xu Mei

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615 08/06/2006